



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

proceeds being placed in custody of B, awaiting this decision; the plaintiffs claiming the \$2,200 as against the defendants, creditors of the firm. By a divided court it was decided that where a chattel mortgage is held valid for a part only of a mortgage debt, the holder of a valid second mortgage on the same property is entitled to the benefit of the amount so deducted from first mortgage debt as against the mortgage creditors, the second mortgagee taking something more than the mere equity of redemption remaining in the mortgagor, after execution of first mortgage. Lyon, J., says: "Both mortgages being valid, the reasonable rule seems to be that the second mortgage takes all the interest in the property remaining after the first mortgage is satisfied and the subsequent attaching or garnishing creditors of the mortgagor must be postponed till both mortgages are satisfied."

Citizenship of Corporations.—*Stephens v. R. R. Co.*, 47 Fed. Rep. 530. The St. Louis and San Francisco R. R. Co. had been originally chartered by the State of Missouri. By an act of the Arkansas legislature it was legalized as a corporation of Arkansas. A citizen of Arkansas brought an action against it in the State Court of Arkansas. The corporation undertook to remove to the Federal Court. As to its power to do so the Court say: "This Court held, in 46 Fed. Rep. 47, that defendant, a corporation of Missouri, had by virtue of the act of the Arkansas legislature of March 13, 1889, become also a corporation of Arkansas. But did this act make it any less a corporation of Missouri, by which State it was first incorporated? The fact that the defendant holds and exercises chartered powers by the common legislature of two States, and exercises a common citizenship of those States, does not destroy its rights as a citizen of Missouri, for it does not take away the fact of its citizenship in such State. * * * The effect of the legislation of Arkansas making the defendant a corporation of the State of Arkansas cannot be so construed as to take away the right of the defendant, created by law a citizen of Missouri, from going into the Federal Court, or hindering a citizen from bringing a suit against it in such court, as to do so would be an exercise of power by the legislature of the State, which, under the Constitution of the U. S. belongs alone to Congress—that of defining the jurisdiction of the Federal Court."

Corporations—Action against Stockholders by Creditors.—*Barnes v. Babcock et al.*, 27 Pacific Reporter 674. In this very recent case the Supreme Court of California passes upon many of the ques-